Plug-In Hybrids: A Scenario Analysis

Drs. Michael Shelby and Simon Mui

Plug-In Hybrid Opportunities and Challenges

86th Annual Meeting of TRB

U.S. EPA

January 22nd, 2007

Contents

- Why PHEVs?
- PHEV Scenario
- Conclusions

Why PHEVs?

- Possibility of significant GHG reductions over 20 – 30 years with complementary electric sector GHG reduction technologies
- Large potential petroleum reductions/reduced oil import reductions
- Electric mode fuel costs are equivalent to about $0.60 - 0.95/gallon* gasoline
- PHEVs are especially attractive in urban areas with short, low speed commuting patterns and air quality issues
- No need for large new energy supply infrastructure investments
  - PHEVs use existing infrastructure (gasoline and electricity)

• Breakeven price estimate based on 6 - 10 cents/kWh range, 90 mpg-equiv. (electric) compared to 25 mpg conventional vehicle

PHEV & Electricity Sector Scenario

- Desire for approaches that can simultaneously reduce energy use, particularly oil imports, and reduce GHGs from the U.S. transportation sector

Key Questions:

- What are the environmental, technical, and economic merits of PHEVs?
- What are the interactions between PHEVs and the electricity sector?
- What are the overall GHG emission reductions and costs of the approach?

Models Used

- Vehicle stock, fuels and greenhouse gas emissions accounting model uses parameters based on MOVES
  - The accounting model incorporates vehicle scrappage, decreasing VMT with age, a PHEV module and cost module
- IPM* is a dynamic linear programming model of the U.S. electricity sector that generates optimal decisions in the electricity sector under the assumption of perfect foresight
  - The model considers a number of key operating and regulatory constraints (e.g., emissions limits, transmission capabilities, renewable generation requirements, fuel market constraints) that are placed on the power, emissions and fuel markets

* "Modeling Framework," Introduction to EPA Modeling Applications Using IPM, EPA's Clean Air Markets Division, 2004

PHEV Rollout Scenario



- Passenger vehicle fleet: PHEVs start penetrating in 2011 and grow to 15% of passenger vehicle sales by 2030

In 2030:

- Annual sales reach 2.7 million PHEVs
- 27 million PHEVs on the road (9% of the nearly 300 million vehicles)

By comparison:

- Conventional hybrids represented 1.2% of all U.S. sales in 2005 (~ 5% by 2010)

PHEV Experience Curves



- Key assumption: Each doubling in PHEV volume reduces costs by 20%

U.S. Electricity Sales for PHEVs



Model: IPM

- Electricity sales from PHEVs represent a small fraction of total U.S. electricity demand (In 2030, 1.2% of demand is from PHEVs)

PHEV Electricity Demand Likely in Off-Peak



Model: IPM

- Additional load from PHEVs is small
- PHEVs could be charged mostly via base-load filling during evenings and nights, when electricity costs are low

PHEV Electricity Demand with Partial Day-Time Charging



Model: IPM

- Also considered scenario where 25% of the charging occurs during the day time, and 75% during the evening and nights

Choice of Electricity Generation Influences PHEV GHG Emissions



CCS = Carbon Capture & Sequestration
Electricity emission factors based on average new plant in forecast period (IPM)
Mid-size passenger cars shown, with conventional gasoline vehicle obtaining 25 mpg

Typical Dispatch Schematic



Peaking Generation, typically simple cycle oil and gas fired turbines. Capacity factors for peaking generation are less than 3 - 5%.

Intermediate Load Generation, typically gas-fired combined cycle systems. Units in this range offer fast ramp rates and short start-up times.

Base Load Generation, typically coal fired. Base load generation has some ability to follow loads, though ramp rate and minimum nighttime load constraints exist.

Nuclear

Hydro

Additional Electricity Generation for PHEVs (Night-Time Case)



- Additional generation is initially natural gas fired plants
- After 2020, increased generation is almost all from coal

Model: IPM

Additional Electricity Generation for PHEVs (25% Day-Time Case)



- Day-time case results in slightly more natural gas, but coal is still dominant

Model: IPM

Capacity Changes for PHEVs (Night-time Case)



Model: IPM

- Electric utilities build more coal, less natural gas
- Net increase in capacity is only 620 MWs by 2025

* 2025 change in coal capacity includes a 0.1 GW decrease in expected retirements; 2025 change in natural gas capacity includes a 0.64 increase in expected retirements

Capacity Changes for PHEVs (25% Day-time Case)



Model: IPM

- Electric utilities still build more coal, but less natural gas capacity is retired
- Net increase in capacity rises to 3840 MW by 2025

* 2025 change in coal capacity includes a 0.15 GW decrease in expected retirements;
2025 change in natural gas capacity includes a 0.19 GW increase in expected retirements

Impact on Passenger Vehicle Gasoline Consumption



	2011-2030 Reductions Million barrels	In 2030: % Reductions vs. Reference
Hybrids	910	2.6%
PHEVs	1,960	5.5%

- In 2030, annual gasoline savings of 710,000 barrels per day (versus 330,000 barrels per day for the “Hybrids” Scenario)
- Purchasers of PHEV40s reduce gasoline fuel purchases by 65%

Impact on Passenger Vehicle GHG Emissions



	2011-2030 Reductions MMT CO_2e	In 2030: % Reductions vs. Reference
Hybrids	390	2.5%
PHEVs	450	2.9%
PHEVs+CCS	770	5.1%

- PHEVs lower GHGs compared to conventional gasoline-powered vehicles
- Marginal GHG benefits for PHEVs compared to “Hybrids” Scenario
- GHG emission savings nearly double with low emitting electricity source (e.g., coal with Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS))

Costs of PHEV Scenario



- Using a 3% discount rate, AEO reference world crude oil price forecast ($50/barrel in 2030), and 6¢/kWh electricity, CCS cost: $35/ton of CO_2 reduced

Annual Costs of PHEV Scenario



- In near-term, vehicle costs exceed fuel savings
- PHEVs begin to payback in 2024 (annual fuel savings exceed other annual costs)
- In 2024, incremental vehicle costs are $1500 for PHEV automobiles and $3100 for PHEV trucks

Cost Sensitivity Analysis



Conclusions

- As part of a suite of vehicle technological possibilities, PHEVs have considerable potential
- PHEVs are a promising option for reducing U.S. petroleum consumption/oil imports
- GHG impacts depend on amount of electric operation/grid fuel source
  - If coal is primary fuel source for electricity, GHG emissions benefits from PHEVs are likely to be more modest than if no/low GHG electricity sources power the PHEVs
- No need for large new energy supply infrastructure investments
- Cost is the largest single barrier – high capital costs precede large fuel savings